

Message

From: Mcseveney, Megan [mcseveney.megan@epa.gov]
Sent: 2/21/2014 8:31:40 PM
To: Saric, James [saric.james@epa.gov]; Hansen, Scott [hansen.scott@epa.gov]; Berkoff, Michael [berkoff.michael@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Response to Hopewell Feb 14 letter - draftmdb
Attachments: Response to Hopewell Feb 14 letter - draftmdb SARIC COMMENTS-1mmc.docx

I am out sick, but I know you all worked hard on this. I edited it quickly. EPA in this version is correct. Please don't wait on me to prepare this for Joan and sign off. Ruth can help prepare the orange folder. Thank you!

From: Saric, James
Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2014 3:44 PM
To: Hansen, Scott; Berkoff, Michael; Mcseveney, Megan
Subject: RE: Response to Hopewell Feb 14 letter - draftmdb

Scott,

You are correct. Not sure if there is something different with letters for Susan. However, normally we would require EPA in parenthesis the first time it's mentioned and no you don't need the EPA the second time it's mentioned. Sorry I missed that. Becky is rubbing off on you!

Jim

From: Hansen, Scott
Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2014 3:42 PM
To: Berkoff, Michael; Mcseveney, Megan; Saric, James
Subject: RE: Response to Hopewell Feb 14 letter - draftmdb

I agree with Jim's comments. I had a couple small suggestions. Do you need the EPA acronym in the first sentence since you use EPA in the rest of the letter and in the last sentence, do you need "the" in front of the second EPA. Just my two cents.

Scott

From: Berkoff, Michael
Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2014 3:23 PM
To: Mcseveney, Megan; Saric, James
Cc: Hansen, Scott
Subject: Response to Hopewell Feb 14 letter - draftmdb

Megan,

Here is something I pulled together after talking with Jim. I spoke with Bruce Merchant, who advises the mayor, and Bruce will get post-session feedback from the mayor.

Michael